Gaming the Composition: An ethnographic study on composing ergodic fiction

R. Lyle Skains, Alice Bell, Astrid Ensslin
School of Creative Studies & Media, Bangor University, John Phillips Hall, College Road, Bangor LL57 2DG, UK
Sheffield Hallam University, City Campus, Howard Street, Sheffield S1 1WB, UK
University of Alberta, 116 St. and 85 Ave., Edmonton AB, T6G 2R3, Canada

Introduction
This ethnographic study of undergraduate writers composing digital and prose fiction expands the author’s practice-based research on digital composition, found that digital composition has significant effects on writer cognition, approach to story, and narrative elements. The aim of the study was to investigate the composition practices of experienced prose fiction writers (undergraduate students on creative writing programs), and how they are affected by shifting these established practices into new techniques and tools offered by digital media.

Methodology
Ethics approval granted by the Bangor University College of Arts & Humanities. Data was collected through a 12-week undergraduate module, which met for 3 hour-long sessions per week (lecture, student workshop). Topics and readings included narratology (theories, cognition, unnatural), interactivity, and the evolution of narrative and play. Creative readings included Twine games, hypertexts, interactive fiction, and print ergodic texts. Students completed weekly activities based on critical discussion questions and writing exercises, and recorded them in research logs. The three assessments consisted of a 2000-word digital fiction, a 2000-word prose adaptation of the digital fiction, and a 1500-word analysis of the creative writing process.

Participants completed four (4) questionnaires on their reading & writing habits:
• Initial Survey, Week 1
• Post-Digital Fiction Survey, Week 6
• Post-Adaptation Survey, Week 9
• Final Survey, Week 12

This paper focuses on the results from the participant questionnaires; further analysis of the remaining documentation will be forthcoming.

Results
13 student-participants between the ages of 18-24 completed all assigned work, logs, and questionnaires. Initial Survey indicates participants primarily read on their computers or mobile devices, and primarily read and write speculative fiction genres: fantasy, science fiction, fairy tale. 85% identify as gamers at intermediate or advanced level.

Writing Tools:
• 55% use a combination of pen/paper & word processor
• 45% use word processors alone
• For their digital fictions, most used Twine in combination with another tool: 47% with pen & paper, 41% with a word processor

For their pre-digital fiction methods, though 26.7% reported using Twine in some preparatory fashion

Writing Strategies:
Participants named characters (90%), storyworld (80%), narrative (80%), and creative expression (80%) as dominant interests in writing fiction.

Most prominent elements to transfer from digital fiction to prose were unnatural narration (26.7%) and multiple storylines (25.6%). Participants were more mostly concerned with adapting character (60%) and storyworld (60%) to prose than in maintaining the elements of play (6.7%) from their digital fictions.

Conclusions
Writing digital fiction expands readership in digital fiction. Reading and writing digital fiction generates positive responses to the form in participant surveys, indicating the participants will continue to voluntarily engage in digital fiction outside of a classroom environment. Further, by lowering the technological barrier to entry for writing digital fiction, easy-to-use platforms such as Twine create an environment where reader-players can produce digital fiction as easily as print readers produce prose, leading to democratization of “born digital” storytelling.

Writing digital fiction shifts the writer’s focus from character and narrative structure to plot and event sequences. Digital fictions incorporate many ludic elements, including multi-linearity, branching structures, interactivity, non-mimetic narrative perspective. As many critics have noted, games and digital fictions are often lacking in “narrative quality”, focusing instead on plot points and events. The results of this study indicate this may be an effect of the digital composing environment, as the writer’s concerns about technological functionality and story logistics feature heavily in the rhetorical situation, and can dominate the more traditional rhetorical focus on character and emotionally effective narrative structure.

Digital writers demonstrate an altered cognitive approach to writing, with multichannel consideration of character choices and pathways, possibilities for story, and plot alternatives. They report being more open to revision and potential changes.

This study’s results support previous arguments that digital fiction and writers are more likely to engage in unnatural narration.

Further information
The “Reading Digital Fiction” project can be found online at https://readingdigitalfiction.com/

Contact the author at L.lyskains@bangor.ac.uk and @Skains. Website: http://lyskains.com/
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi -medium</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Storyworld</th>
<th>Narrative</th>
<th>Plot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Survey</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Survey</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Survey</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Survey</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>